```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
                                                           JS - 6
 6
7
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
9
                       CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
   WILHEMINA TAGUINOD,
                                      Case No. CV 13-09006 DDP (RZx)
   individually and on behalf
   of themselves and all others
                                      ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S
                                      UNOPPOSED MOTION TO DISMISS
   similarly situated; JOHNNNY
   TAGUINOD, individually and
                                     [Dkt. No. 4]
   on behalf of themselves and
   all others similarly
   situated,
15
                   Plaintiffs,
16
         v.
17
   WELLS FARGO BANK N.A., as
18
   Trustee for Wachovia Bank
   Commercial Mortgage Trust
   Commercial Mortgage Pass-
19
   Through Certificates Series
20
   2007-C3, successor by merger
   with Wells Fargo Bank
21
   Southwest N.A. formerly
   known as Wachovia Mortgage
   FSB formerly known as World
   Savings Bank FSB (Wells
23
   Fargo),
2.4
                   Defendants.
25
26
        Presently before the court is Defendant Wells Fargo Bank
   N.A.'s Motion to Dismiss Under Rule 12(b)(6). (Dkt No. 4.)
   Plaintiffs Wilhemina Taguinod and Johnny Taguinod have not opposed
```

the motion. Accordingly, the court GRANTS Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.

Central District of California Local Rule 7-9 requires an opposing party to file an opposition to any motion at least twenty-one (21) days prior to the date designated for hearing the motion.

C.D. CAL. L.R. 7-9. Additionally, Local Rule 7-12 provides that "[t]he failure to file any required document, or the failure to file it within the deadline, may be deemed consent to the granting or denial of the motion." C.D. CAL. L.R. 7-12.

The hearing on Defendant's motion was noticed for April 21, 2014. Plaintiffs' opposition was therefore due by March 31, 2014. As of the date of this Order, Plaintiffs have not filed an opposition or any other filing that could be construed as a request for a continuance. Accordingly, the court deems Plaintiffs' failure to oppose as consent to granting the motion to dismiss, and GRANTS the motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 15, 2014

DEAN D. PREGERSON
United States District Judge